

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****APR 25 2007** Atty Dkt. No.: 10021296-1
USSN: 10/633,609**REMARKS**

In view of the following remarks, the Examiner is requested to allow claims 1-13, 17-19, 34, 49, 50, 56, 57 and 59-65, the only claims pending and under examination in this application.

Claim 62 has been amended to clarify the claim language. As no new matter has been added by way of this amendment, entry thereof by the Office is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph

Claim 62 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. In view of the above amendment, it is believed that this rejection may be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-13, 46, 50, 56, 57, 59-63 and 65 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Stern (US 2002/0055102).

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987).

° An element of the claims at issue is:

"receiving a request for a test which uses a sub-array of a chemical array with probes at multiple feature locations..."

A "sub-array" is not a second array that is separate from a first array, but a collection of features that is found in the first array. At page 9, lines 4 to 12 provide this definition of sub-array and read:

"Sub-array" references a collection of features of the array which are less than all the features of the array (for example, less than 90%, 80%, 60%, 50%, 30%, or 10% of all array features). A "sub-array pattern" is the identification of such features (that is, the pattern in

Atty Dkt. No.: 10021296-1
USSN: 10/633,609

which they are arranged). While features of a sub-array will often be a contiguous set of array features (in the sense that there are no intervening non-sub-array features within the boundaries of the sub-array), this is not necessarily the case and the sub-array pattern can be any arrangement of less than all array features desired. An array may have more than one sub-array patterns, which may or may not overlap with one another. A feature "outside" any sub-array pattern is one which is not a feature of any sub-array pattern.

In asserting that the Stern teaches this element of the claimed invention, the Office points to paragraphs 98 and 99 of Stern. Paragraph 98 reads in part:

[0098] Graphical user interfaces (GUI's) 2782 may be presented to a user so that the user may select particular microarrays of array 2770, and their order, for scanning. For example, in accordance with any of a variety of conventional user-interface techniques, a user may be presented by GUI's 2782 (under the control of GUI controller 2715) with a list of microarrays included in array 2770 identified, for example, by a list of names or identifiers, and/or by a graphical representation of the microarrays in an array configuration on a monitor or other output device of input/output devices 2780. Thus, using the example given above in which array 2770 consists of 49 microarrays arranged in 7 rows and 7 columns, a graphic of 49 elements could be presented to the user. The user could select all 49 elements (using any of a variety of conventional user-interface selection techniques) if it were desired that each of the 49 microarrays were to be sequentially scanned. Alternatively, the user could select one or more of the 49 microarrays, in any designated order or combination, for sequential scanning. In yet another alternative implementation, a user could

As can be seen from the above excerpt, Stern is suggesting a method where a user employs a GUI to choose an array for a plurality of different arrays. However, nowhere in the cited passages from Stern is taught or suggested to select a sub-array of an array.

As such, Stern fails to teach each and every element of the claims since Stern fails to teach or suggest a method that employs a sub array. Accordingly, 35 U.S.C. Claims 1-13, 34, 49, 50, 56, 57, 59-63 and 65 are not anticipated § 102(b) by Stern and this rejection may be withdrawn.

Atty Dkt. No.: 10021296-1
USSN: 10/633,609

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1, 6, 12, 13 and 17-19 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Stern in view of Podymnugin et al., (Nucleic Acids Research (2001) 29(24):5090-5098). As set forth above, Stern is deficient because Stern fails to teach or suggest a method that employs a sub-array of an array. As Podymnugin was cited solely for its asserted disclosure that a "lack of binding may be caused by cross-linking or cleavage," it fails to remedy the deficiencies of Stern. Accordingly, this rejection may be withdrawn.

Finally, Claims 1, 34 and 64 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Stern in view of Sandstrom (2005/0079603). As set forth above, Stern is deficient because Stern fails to teach or suggest a method that employs a sub-array of an array. As Sandstrom was cited solely for its asserted disclosure of masking a microarray, it fails to remedy the deficiencies of Stern. Accordingly, this rejection may be withdrawn.

Atty Dkt. No.: 10021296-1
USSN: 10/633,609

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 25 2007

CONCLUSION

Applicants submit that all of the claims are in condition for allowance, which action is requested. If the Examiner finds that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, please telephone John Brady at (408) 553-3584.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any underpayment of fees associated with this communication, including any necessary fees for extensions of time, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1078, order number 10021296-1.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 25, 2007

By: 

Bret E. Field
Registration No. 37,620

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
Legal Department, DL429
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 7599
Loveland, CO 80537-0599

F:\DOCUMENT\AGIL\381 (10021296-1)\10021296-1 (AGIL-381) Resp to final of 2-27-07.doc